

SCDOT Design-Build	SCDOT Design-Build Technical Proposal Evaluation Score Sheet					
	P041165 - S-31 Cannons Campground Rd. Wednesday, April 16, 2025					
	Palmetto-CTEA		Reeves-KCI		Wright Bros.-NS	
	Pass/Fail	Comments	Pass/Fail	Comments	Pass/Fail	Comments
Preliminary Responsiveness and Responsibility						
Were the Proposals submitted in accordance with the Milestone Schedule?	Pass	MEETS the requirements of the RFP	Pass	MEETS the requirements of the RFP	Pass	MEETS the requirements of the RFP
Is a Technical Proposal Narrative provided?	Pass	MEETS the requirements of the RFP	Pass	MEETS the requirements of the RFP	Pass	MEETS the requirements of the RFP
Are Conceptual Plans provided?	Pass	MEETS the requirements of the RFP	Pass	MEETS the requirements of the RFP	Pass	MEETS the requirements of the RFP
Are Approved Formal ATCs that are being incorporated into Proposer's Cost Proposal provided?	Pass	MEETS the requirements of the RFP	Pass	MEETS the requirements of the RFP	Pass	MEETS the requirements of the RFP
Is Proposer still considered responsive?	Pass	MEETS the requirements of the RFP	Pass	MEETS the requirements of the RFP	Pass	MEETS the requirements of the RFP
Procurement Officer Initials	RCF		RCF		RCF	
Responsiveness	Yes/No	Comments	Yes/No	Comments	Yes/No	Comments
Is the EEO Certificate provided?	Yes	MEETS the requirements of the RFP	Yes	MEETS the requirements of the RFP	Yes	MEETS the requirements of the RFP
Is the Non-Collusion Certificate provided?	Yes	MEETS the requirements of the RFP	Yes	MEETS the requirements of the RFP	Yes	MEETS the requirements of the RFP
Are the Addendum Receipts provided?	Yes	MEETS the requirements of the RFP	Yes	MEETS the requirements of the RFP	Yes	MEETS the requirements of the RFP
Disclosure of Potential COI Certifications Provided?	Yes	MEETS the requirements of the RFP	Yes	MEETS the requirements of the RFP	Yes	MEETS the requirements of the RFP
Confidential and Proprietary Information Page Provided?	Yes	MEETS the requirements of the RFP	Yes	MEETS the requirements of the RFP	Yes	MEETS the requirements of the RFP
Procurement Officer Initials	RCF		RCF		RCF	
Technical Proposal Narrative	Pass/Fail	Comments	Pass/Fail	Comments	Pass/Fail	Comments
In the Technical Proposal Narrative, Proposers shall include a discussion on its Project Delivery and Approach or the proposal will be considered non-responsive. The Technical Proposal Narrative shall be developed in the following sequence:						
1. Describe the Project Delivery & Approach to include assurances and ability to complete the Project within the required timeframe.	Pass	MEETS the requirements of the RFP	Pass	MEETS the requirements of the RFP	Pass	MEETS the requirements of the RFP
2. Include an organizational chart to show how crews will be allocated to the sites. Describe the interaction between multiple crews and superintendents and project management staff. Only firm names are required to demonstrate these interactions.	Pass	MEETS the requirements of the RFP	Pass	MEETS the requirements of the RFP	Pass	MEETS the requirements of the RFP
The Technical Proposal Narrative shall contain no more than three pages, excluding the required appendices. Charts, tables, and schedules used to explain or expand on the Technical Proposal are to be included within the page limit and shall not be inserted into the appendices. No additional information shall be accepted, including, but not limited to, links to external websites, video clips, or simulations/visualizations embedded within the Narrative.	Pass	MEETS the requirements of the RFP	Pass	MEETS the requirements of the RFP	Pass	MEETS the requirements of the RFP
The Technical Proposal Narrative shall be on single sided 8.5"x11" letter sized paper, with minimum ten-point Times New Roman font and double line spacing for text. Any Conceptual Plans shall be provided in black and white on single sided 11"x17" paper unless otherwise noted herein.						
	Pass/Fail	Comments	Pass/Fail	Comments	Pass/Fail	Comments
Overall Section Pass/Fail:	Pass		Pass		Pass	
Procurement Officer Initials	RCF		RCF		RCF	
Technical Proposal Appendices	Pass/Fail	Comments	Pass/Fail	Comments	Pass/Fail	Comments



<div>SCDOT Design-Build</div> <div>3. Provide Conceptual Bridge and Roadway Plans. The intent of scoring the Proposer's conceptual roadway plans is for SCDOT to understand that the proposer clearly demonstrates its understanding of requirements of the RFP and the Team's approach to meet those requirements. The quality of the plans will be reviewed and scored (Pass/Fail) for compliance with RFP requirements. The following shall be provided.</div>	SCDOT Design-Build Technical Proposal Evaluation Score Sheet					
	P041165 - S-31 Cannons Campground Rd. Wednesday, April 16, 2025					
a. Typical sections for proposed roadway	Pass	MEETS the requirements of the RFP	Pass	MEETS the requirements of the RFP	Pass	MEETS the requirements of the RFP
b. Plan and Profile of proposed roadway showing existing and proposed Right of Way limits	Pass	MEETS the requirements of the RFP	Pass	MEETS the requirements of the RFP	Pass	MEETS the requirements of the RFP
c. Conceptual Bridge/Culvert Plan and Profile including but not limited to: horizontal and vertical clearances, hydrology data, intent for bridge deck and bridge end drainage, anticipated foundation type, approximate toe of slope with abutment grading and riprap, expansion joint locations and types of joint materials, and bearing conditions at each bent.	Pass	MEETS the requirements of the RFP	Pass	MEETS the requirements of the RFP	Pass	MEETS the requirements of the RFP
d. Superstructure cross sections and substructure elevations showing pertinent structural elements and dimensions.	Pass	MEETS the requirements of the RFP	Pass	MEETS the requirements of the RFP	Pass	MEETS the requirements of the RFP
	Pass/Fail		Pass/Fail		Pass/Fail	
Overall Section Pass/Fail:	Pass		Pass		Pass	
Procurement Officer Initials	RCF		RCF		RCF	
Overall Team Pass/Fail:						
Overall Pass/Fail:	Pass		Pass		Pass	
Procurement Officer Initials	RCF		RCF		RCF	
	I certify that the scores shown on this sheet(s) accurately reflect the actions of the Committee on March 13, 2025 and that the evaluation was done in accordance with the RFP.					
	Tyler Clark Chairperson (voting)					
	Austin Purgason Voting Member					
	Carolyn Fisher Voting Member					
	Will McGoldrick Voting Member					
	Melissa Espinoza Voting Member					
	Renee Frazier Procurement Officer					
	Brian Gambrell Legal					